

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/554,905	07/26/2000	Erland Sorensen	9847-0048-6X	6635	
	7590 03/04/2002 VAK MCCLELLAND	MAIER & NEUSTADT PC	EXAMINER		
FOURTH FLO		MULLINS, BURTON S			
ARLINGTON,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2834		
			DATE MAILED: 03/04/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					\ \U	
		Application	n No.		Applicant(s)	
. Office Action Summary		09/554,90	5		SORENSEN, ERLAND	
		Examiner			Art Unit	
Ĵ		Burton S. I			2834	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	ppears on the	cover s	heet with the co	orrespondence a	address
THE II - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is consistent of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no eve eply within the statu od will apply and wi jute. cause the appl	ent, howeve utory minim Il expire SIX ication to b	r, may a reply be time um of thirty (30) days ((6) MONTHS from t ecome ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered tin he mailing date of this 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nely. s communication.
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	2 Mav 2000 .				
2a)□	•	This action is	non-fina	al.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under	wance excep	t for for	nal matters, pro	osecution as to 53 O.G. 213.	the merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 33-67 is/are pending in the applica	ation.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from co	nsiderat	ion.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 33-54 and 67 is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	Claim(s) 55-66 is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election r	equirem	ent.		
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b)	objected	to by the Exar	niner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s)	be held	in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a	a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ a	pproved	l b)□ disappro	ved by the Exan	niner.
i,	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this O	ffice action	on.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority ur	nder 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have bee	en receiv	red.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have bee	en receiv	ed in Applicati	on No	
* :	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PCT	Rule 1	7.2(a)).		nal Stage
1	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome					nal application).
í	a) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional a	pplicatio	n has been rec	eived.	Funde
Attachmer		, ,				BURTON S. MULLINS PRIMARY EXAMINE
1) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s		5) 🔲		/ (PTO-413) Paper Patent Application	No(s)
Li O. Datastand	T					

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 33-67 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 33, line 3, delete "being". On second to last line change "being" to ---are---. In claims 34-37, change "being" to ---is---. In claim 38, change "having" to ---have----. In claim 39, delete "being". In claim 40, change "being" to ---are---. Similar grammar corrections should be made to the remaining claims. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 41-48 and 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claims 41 and 67, recitation "to adapt a speed" is vague and indefinite. Does this mean to control a speed?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2834

5. Claims 33-43, 49-54 and 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Elton et al. (US 4,853,565) in view of Thomas et al. (US 4,163,187). Elton teaches a multi-winding stator cable (Fig.7) for high-powered dynamo-electric machines comprising: a conductor comprising plural strands 102, at least some of which are in electrical contact with one another; a first semi-conducting layer 104 surrounding the conductor; a solid insulating layer 106 surrounding the first semi-conducting layer; and a second semi-conducting layer 110 surrounding the insulating layer and connected to ground. Elton does not teach two vectorial quantities of flux, per se, or that the machine is "directly connected" to a distribution or transmission network.

Regarding the former feature, Thomas teaches an alternator speed controller and method comprising two sets of stator exciter windings 17 and 18 (Figs.2-3) which provide variable speed control for the generator. The two windings are the two sources of flux in the stator.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the generator of Elton and provide dual windings per Thomas so as to improve the output and frequency regulation.

Regarding the latter feature, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, e.g., "direct connection" to a network, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a

Art Unit: 2834

manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 44-48 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Regarding claim 44, the prior art does not teach an extra or auxiliary winding for generating the first flux vector, with the second flux vector generated by the two electrical windings.
- 7. Claims 55-66 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Thomas does not teach or suggest an auxiliary stator winding, nor the details of claims 55-66.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Burton S. Mullins whose telephone number is 305-7063. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 305-1341 for regular communications and 305-1341 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2834

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should

be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-0956.

Burton S. Mullins Primary Examiner Art Unit 2834

bsm

February 19, 2002